**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY**

**ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION**

**WRIT PETITON NO.2994 OF 1991**

Satidhan Industries ..Petitioner.

V/s.

Union of India & Ors. ..Respondents.

Mr.Ajay Singh for petitioner.

Mrs.S.V. Bharucha with A.S. Rao for respondents.

**CORAM : S.RADHAKRISHNAN AND J.P.DEVADHAR, JJ.**

**DATED : 27TH JANUARY, 2005.**

**P.C. :-**

1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and the respondents. Both the counsel categorically state that the issue involved in the present petition is squarely covered by the Judgment of the Supreme Court in the case of **Pratibha Processors V/s. Union of India** reported in **1996 (88) E.L.T.12 (S.C.)** and **Commissioner of Customs, Chennai V/s. Jayanthi Krishna & Co.** reported in **2000 (119) E.L.T.4 (S.C.)**. Hence rule is made absolute in terms of prayer clause (a). However, there will be no order as to costs.

**(S.RADHAKRISHNAN, J.)**

**(J.P. DEVADHAR, J.)**